

EXHIBIT G

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>JAMES CURTIN,</p> <p>Plaintiff,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>CYBERLUX CORPORATION et al.,</p> <p>Defendants.</p> | <p>IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA GREENSBORO DIVISION</p> <p>Civil Action No. _____</p> |
|---|--|

EXHIBIT ~~N~~ G

TIMELINE OF INVESTIGATIVE ARTICLES

The following timeline documents investigative articles published by Plaintiff James Curtin under the pen name Jackson Holt at jacksonholt.com and cyberluxfiles.com from November 2024 through May 2026. Each entry states the publication date, platform, article title, a summary of content, and the defendants or parties implicated. Entries in italics require verification of exact publication date against Plaintiff's publication records; all other entries reflect confirmed dates from the Supplemental Declaration, Google Analytics 4 session data, and publication archives.

All articles were authored by Plaintiff personally under the Jackson Holt byline. All factual claims were based on publicly available court records, government filings, corporate disclosures, and documentary evidence. No defendant submitted a retraction demand, correction request, or documented factual dispute to any article in the series.

| No. | Date | Title / Platform | Content and Defendants Implicated |
|--|--------------|---|---|
| 1 | Nov. 7, 2024 | Scandal Unfolds at Cyberlux: \$3.4 Million Transferred to Executive Amid Financial Turmoil jacksonholt.com | Series opening article. Documented \$3,422,745.06 in transfers from Cyberlux accounts to Schmidt between September 2023 and April 2024, drawn from the \$38.7 million FMF advance. Published analysis of Cyberlux Q3 2023 financial disclosures and the Atlantic Wave Holdings settlement agreement. Defendants implicated: Schmidt (primary); Cyberlux; Maadarani (CRO context). |
| <i>Articles 2–5: Additional articles published November 2024 through April 2025. Titles and exact dates to be confirmed against jacksonholt.com and cyberluxfiles.com archives. Content covered: the commission ecosystem, Cyberlux financial disclosures, the contract structure, FAR compliance issues, and ARG Group's role. Platform: jacksonholt.com / cyberluxfiles.com. Defendants implicated: Schmidt; Cyberlux; commission claimants.</i> | | | |
| 6 | May 9, 2025 | TrellisWare Article jacksonholt.com | Reported Cyberlux's public association with TrellisWare Technologies in connection with the defense procurement. Documented entry point for a 52-minute reading session from Tampa, Florida on May 10, 2025 at 5:16 AM EDT, immediately preceding Maadarani's WhatsApp surveillance riddle at 2:34 PM EDT the same day. Defendants implicated: Cyberlux; Schmidt. |
| 7 | May 13, 2025 | Cyberlux Wired \$1M to UK Shell Weeks After Receiving \$38M in Taxpayer Money jacksonholt.com | Reported the \$994,460 wire to G2G Global Ltd. on October 16, 2023. Identified Tucker as sole director by full name; G2G by UK Companies House number and registered office; and absence of documented subcontract deliverables. Published 14 days before the May 27, 2025 doxxing campaign. Defendants implicated: Tucker; G2G; Schmidt; Cyberlux; HII (regulatory failure). |
| 8 | May 14, 2025 | Cyberlux Just Got Flattened in Federal Court – Again. jacksonholt.com | Reported Cyberlux's third failed federal court removal attempt in the Atlantic Wave Holdings enforcement action. U.S. District Judge Lee Rosenthal denied removal as objectively baseless and ordered legal fees. Defendants implicated: Schmidt; Cyberlux. |
| 9 | May 21, 2025 | Topless Optics: Cyberlux Can't Show Up in Court, But Finds \$2.5M for a Strip Club jacksonholt.com | Reported the \$2.5 million payment to Rosewood Theater LLC and the Montague Capital Partners commission claim of approximately \$3.5 million in the Texas receivership proceedings. Connected payments to the FMF advance pool and commission ecosystem. Defendants implicated: Schmidt; Cyberlux; Maadarani; Montague; WeShield. |
| 10 | May 24, 2025 | Cyberlux in Receivership: The Fantasy Is Finally Over jacksonholt.com | Reported the May 22, 2025 Texas receivership appointment. Named Maadarani directly by name and title as Chief Revenue Officer — three days before the May 27, 2025 doxxing campaign. The article was the subject of three Beirut, Lebanon sessions within a 35-minute window on May 27, 2025, in the hours before @RacketeerX launched. Defendants implicated: Maadarani (directly and by name); Schmidt; Cyberlux. |

| No. | Date | Title / Platform | Content and Defendants Implicated |
|--|---------------|---|---|
| 11 | June 14, 2025 | Truth: Cyberlux's Bold Move Against Investigative Reporting jacksonholt.com | First publication after the May 27 doxxing campaign. Documented the espionage accusations, targeting of family members, coordinated harassment, complaints to DoD/FBI/GSA, and OIG cooperation. Named Maadarani as the executive whose message 'suggested stalking and personal surveillance.' Defendants implicated: All defendants; commission claimants. |
| 12 | June 24, 2025 | Public Paycheque, Private Client: How One Lawyer Took Both Sides jacksonholt.com | Documented Watts's simultaneous service as City Attorney of Greensboro and Special Counsel to Cyberlux. Reported use of Greensboro City Hall infrastructure and greensboro-nc.gov email for Cyberlux legal work. Described Watts's role as de facto general counsel during the termination settlement and his listing on the OTC Markets Prohibited Service Providers list. Defendants implicated: Watts; Watts Law; Cyberlux; Schmidt. |
| 13 | July 3, 2025 | Behind Cyberlux's Collapse: The Hidden Hand of Montague jacksonholt.com | Documented Montague Capital Partners LLC commission agreement in Texas receivership proceedings, claiming approximately \$3.5 million for 'sourcing and negotiating' the subcontract. Connected Montague to the broader commission ecosystem and ITAR Part 129 exposure. Defendants implicated: Montague; Schmidt; Cyberlux; commission ecosystem. |
| <p><i>Articles 14–17: Additional articles published July through December 2025. Titles and exact dates to be confirmed. Content covered: the second receivership appointment (June 12, 2025); the interpleader filing; ARG commission structure; WeShield ITAR exposure; the Brett Rosen securities fraud indictment; and ongoing Cyberlux financial disclosures. Platform: jacksonholt.com. Defendants implicated: Schmidt; Cyberlux; commission claimants.</i></p> | | | |
| 18 | Mar. 13, 2026 | When Oversight Fails jacksonholt.com | Return to publication after litigation filing and OIG cooperation period. Explained basis for Plaintiff's silence following the doxxing campaign and return following the Rosen indictment. Confirmed ongoing OIG cooperation. Documented continuing harassment by accounts connected to defendants. Defendants implicated: All defendants; securities promotion network. |
| 19 | Mar. 20, 2026 | Interpleader Claims vs. Available Funds jacksonholt.com | Tabulated analysis of all competing interpleader claims against the \$23,736,937.56 deposited by HII (Case No. 3:25-cv-00483-JAG). Documented each claimant's amount, basis, and regulatory exposure under DFARS 225.7303-3 and the Anti-Kickback Act. Defendants implicated: All commission claimants; Schmidt; Cyberlux; HII. |
| 20 | Apr. 8, 2026 | What Cyberlux Isn't Telling Its Investors jacksonholt.com | Documented Cyberlux's OTC disclosure failures including the false claim of 2,000 complete drone deliveries, contradicted by the DD250 record filed by Fairwinds (Case No. 3:25-cv-00483-JAG, ECF No. 178). Documented going concern qualification, \$50 |


| No. | Date | Title / Platform | Content and Defendants Implicated |
|-----|---------------|---|---|
| | | | million accumulated deficit, and multiple debt defaults. Defendants implicated: Schmidt; Cyberlux. |
| 21 | Apr. 21, 2026 | Evading jacksonholt.com | Narrative piece documenting the pattern of service evasion by defendants in the prior related proceeding, Curtin v. Watts et al., Case No. 1:25-cv-00782-TDS-JGM (M.D.N.C.). Defendants implicated: Watts; Watts Law. |
| 22 | May 6, 2026 | Cyberlux Acquisitions: The Making of a Paper Tiger cyberluxfiles.com | Documented the Operation Alpha acquisition architecture through public records. Reported that CTMC Drone Solutions LLC — publicly announced as an acquisition target on August 31, 2021 — was not formed until September 27, 2021, by Charles D. Watts Jr. as organizer, after the announcement. Documented that 50 million CYBL shares were issued to Watts eleven days later as a ‘debt settlement,’ and Watts Law PLLC was formed one month after that. Reported the FBD Group share diversion: 200 million shares publicly announced for FBD at \$0.10 per share instead were issued to Montague Capital Partners at \$0.001 per share. Sourced to North Carolina Secretary of State records and Cyberlux OTC Markets annual filings. Defendants implicated: Watts; Watts Law; Schmidt; Cyberlux; Montague (Kalenja). |
| 23 | May 9, 2026 | The Poorly Written Contract that Cost Taxpayers at Least \$48 Million cyberluxfiles.com | Documented HII Mission Technologies’ seven structural contradictions of the FFP designation in Subcontract No. P000043846, including advance payment mechanics, ‘reimburse’ language, cost-category invoicing, and the services template applied to a hardware manufacturing transaction. Reported the total taxpayer cost of at least \$48,545,974 — the \$22,776,605.40 in unearned advance owed to the government at termination (acknowledged as ‘To USG’ in Schmidt’s own filed spreadsheet) plus the \$25,769,369.03 Modification No. 4 termination settlement. Documented the Stop Work Order timing (December 22, 2023, nine days before HII’s fiscal year end), the CO change six weeks before termination, and Clause 9’s information control function. Sourced to Subcontract No. P000043846 (Exhibit 4, Case 4:25-cv-01689, S.D. Tex.) and public EDVA interpleader filings. Defendants implicated: HII; Schmidt; Cyberlux. |
| 24 | May 19, 2026 | The Weapon Cyberlux Filed Against Atlantic Wave May Also Destroy Its Largest Remaining Claimant jacksonholt.com | Documented HII’s motion to exclude Atlantic Wave Holdings from the Interpleader distribution (Case No. 3:25-cv-00483-JAG, ECF Nos. 212–213), and the legal consequence of applying the same Gibney findings to ARG Group’s \$14,118,618.61 claim: that the receiver’s authority, which terminated in June 2025, was used to sign Amendment No. 1 to the ARG Distributor Partner Agreement on February 20, 2026 |

| No. | Date | Title / Platform | Content and Defendants Implicated |
|-----|------|------------------|---|
| | | | — seven months after authority ceased. Defendants implicated: ARG / Gonzalez; HII; Cyberlux; Schmidt. |

* Italicized gap entries should be verified against jacksonholt.com and cyberluxfiles.com archives.

The confirmed articles represent the minimum published record.

I, James Curtin, declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing timeline accurately represents, to the best of my knowledge, the investigative articles I published under the Jackson Holt byline during the period stated.



 James Curtin

May 21, 2026